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INTRODUCTION

This short booklet is for any manager who undertakes, or is about to undertake, a Personal Development Review (PDR) with their staff. Its aim is to cover the process and the principles behind PDR, and to pass on hints and tips from those experienced at PDR.

For more support on PDR, speak to your local personnel and look at the departmental or Oxford Learning Institute websites.

http://www.rdm.ox.ac.uk/career-progression-and-training-overview

http://www.learning.ox.ac.uk/index.html?page=1

These websites include information on and links to career progression and training, RDM’s Career Development Committee and Mentoring Scheme, details of support available for research staff including the Careers Service, training courses available, regrading processes and contract types, and the annual reward and recognition scheme.
Career Progression and Training Overview

From this link http://www.rdm.ox.ac.uk/career-progression-and-training-overview on the ‘Working at RDM’ web pages, you'll find lots of information on how RDM and the University can help support and develop your career:

- Career Development Committee
- Personal Development Review
- Mentoring
- Career Development and Training Courses
- Support for Research Staff
- Support for Administrative and Support Staff
- Careers Service
- Contract Types
- Pay Scales
- Reward and Recognition Scheme
- Regrading Procedure
- Oxford Academic Career Path
THE ROLE OF PDR

PDR is the University’s appraisal process for support, academic related and research staff. Academic staff members have their own appraisal system, though academics who manage staff often participate in PDR.

The PDR process takes place across the year through regular one-to-one meetings and progress checks, and culminates in the annual review meeting. The annual review meeting provides the space, time and opportunity to summarise achievements and lessons learned, to reflect on the year past, to look ahead to the year to come and to agree new objectives and any development needed to ensure goals are achieved.

For the University, PDR is important because it helps align the work of individuals with the broader goals of the department and University.

As a manager, PDR gives you the chance to sit down with your staff and talk about them and their work, find out what motivates them, what aspirations they have and to explore the challenges they face at work and how these can be met.

For the individual, PDR provides time and space in which they can think about the year gone and the year to come, identify barriers to performance, suggest improvements in the way things are done, discuss priorities and goals, review the main tasks that make up their role and identify any development they need to tackle new challenges or to improve against existing ones.
PDR stands for:

- **Personal** – it is about the person, not only about performance, and helps integrate individual aspirations and capabilities with the needs of the University and the challenges of the role;

- **Development** – it is about the development or changes needed to enable individuals to do their job well and to develop their potential, if they want;

- **Review** – it looks backwards to learn lessons, and then looks forward to plan the year to come.

The PDR process ensures that any aspects of work that might have gone less well are dealt with openly and honestly, and that staff members receive constructive feedback and support, together with the recognition and encouragement they deserve when things are going well. It increases managers’ awareness of their staff as individuals, and helps individuals understand the challenges facing their team, manager and work area.

The annual review is not a place of summary judgement, and certainly not the place to raise a performance issue for the first time. **The principle of ‘no surprises’ is paramount.** Specific aspects of unsatisfactory performance should already have been addressed by the time of the annual review, just as praise should have been given when due. If there have been issues to do with unsatisfactory work, the annual review may be used to summarise these and to cover the progress made, but is not the place to raise the issue for the first time. If there have been substantial concerns about an individual’s performance at work, these should be dealt with under the performance management process, which could lead to disciplinary action (see the Personnel Services website for how to manage unsatisfactory performance).

Overall, PDR makes sure that we all understand the contribution we make. It helps you to manage, support and develop your staff.
THE PDR PROCESS

There are three stages to the PDR process:
1. Planning
2. The review meeting
3. Follow-up.

STAGE 1: PREPARATION

Preparation starts when a reminder arrives from personnel that all PDRs need to be completed by a certain date. To prepare, you:

1. Agree a date, time and location for the PDR meeting with the individual.

2. Send the individual a copy of the form (or the link to the webpages). You might also want to send:
   - a copy of their job description
   - a reminder of any team or departmental priorities that will be relevant to the year ahead
   - a reminder of their objectives for last year (if these have been set).

3. Agree with the individual how you will work together on the PDR. You may wish to ask them to complete the form and return it to you before the agreed review meeting or you may agree to complete the form together during the meeting. Whatever is decided needs to be clearly communicated to the individual.

4. If you have opted to request the form in advance you may wish to review the draft form and then send back any comments you have. This ensures there are no surprises at the review meeting.

5. Review any notes you have made from meetings or observations at work on the person’s work over the last year, with particular focus on their objectives and key work duties. If you don’t keep some form of notes, you might find it difficult to recall what happened over the last year with regard to someone’s work.
6. Remind yourself of departmental priorities and think ahead to the challenges that your team will face in the coming year and the priorities for them.

7. Ensure you won’t have any interruptions during the review meeting and that you set the tone of the meeting by ensuring the location is conducive to a relaxed and confidential discussion.

STAGE 2: REVIEW MEETING

Start things off with a brief reminder of the meeting structure you had in mind, where you want to get to by the end (e.g., do you want to have the record of the meeting completed by the end, or will one of you complete the form afterwards) and a quick reminder that this is their PDR and therefore you expect to be doing more listening than talking.

The amount you have to steer or shape the conversation will depend on the individual, but it should be at the minimal level required. Listen as much as possible and encourage them to suggest ideas and to come to valid conclusions.

The PDR form used to record the meeting contains a number of areas to discuss and you can use this to help structure the meeting, but be prepared to digress and be flexible.

It is right that you identify areas that need improving, but remember to include ideas on how to improve. It is equally right that you take the opportunity to say thank you for work well done. To be constructive, your feedback should be:

- Balanced - seeing the positive as well as the negative.
- Factual - dealing with facts, not opinions (give examples of good work/poorer work and why it was good/poor)
- Forward looking - focusing on how to improve/maintain performance
- Supportive - offering any guidance and help needed to bring about desired performance.

And because this is the PDR meeting, none of what you say should be news to the individual.

The form sums up the review meeting and what has been
agreed. Most reviewers simply make notes against each section and then complete it afterwards. The form tends to cover the topics below:

A. Taking Stock and Objectives.

This section of the form focuses on progress against last year’s objectives and what might have hindered achievement, but it is also worth thinking about factors that helped, as both are important. It might have been that new work or a change in priorities meant that some objectives could not be given the time needed, or that resources were not available. Or, it may be that the individual has found a way of doing something that is worth sharing.

When it comes to looking at the year to come, you both might feel that an objective that was not fully achieved last year is worth carrying forward, so you would want to know what might have hindered its achievement in the year gone in order to remove the obstacle.

B. Personal Review

This section asks the reviewee if there have been any significant changes in their responsibilities over the last year. Does their job description still describe what they actually do? If not, what has changed and why?

PDR is not the place to start re-grading jobs (that is a different process), but if it revealed significant deviation between the job description and the work done, it might prompt a reassessment of an individual’s role and grade. In general, however, this section of the form simply identifies where slight amendments to the job description might be needed.

This section can also be used to discuss what went well over the review period, are there any accomplishments of which the reviewee is particularly pleased? There is also space to discuss if there were any difficulties. Were there any issues which impeded development and if so how could these issues be addressed?

C. Looking Forward
Reviewees will differ in their ability to look ahead and identify objectives. There are several ways they can do this. They can:

- Look at each of the main points on their job description and identify potential objectives for each of these;
- Think about priorities and challenges to come and decide if any of these require specific objectives;
- Think about what they feel is needed most to improve the service they give and use this as the basis for objectives.
- Think about their key development needs and base an objective or two on these.

There may be some objectives that are not directly related to parts of the job description. For example, you might want two of your team to work together to improve a process your team uses. You might also include an objective linked to the person’s aspirations. Although not directly related to their immediate job description, such development can lead to improved job satisfaction, though the degree to which you are able to support personal aspirations will depend on what is being sought, budgets and time.

You should reach agreement on relevant objectives and not simply impose them. For some roles, objectives may be unchanged from the previous year because the job has a particular focus and the important thing is to maintain the agreed standard.

By the end of this part of the review, you may have up to ten objectives, depending on the complexity of the job. You may have a lot less. Better to have three or four well-written and important objectives than ten rather trivial ones just for the sake of having them. So what is a ‘well-written’ objective?
The key to a well-written objective is to try and make them SMART, which means making them:

**Specific** - pin down exactly what you want to happen and by when, what resources are needed.

**Measureable** - put in a date and quantities or quality if these can be applied to the task.

**Achievable** - neither too easy, nor too hard. If the individual does not believe it can be done, they won’t even try.

**Relevant** - it should obviously relate to the person’s job or to their development or aspirations

**Timely** - you should set a time for the achievement. By when should it be done?

Here is an example of an objective that is not SMART:

*Improve your writing.*

It doesn’t say what is wrong with the person’s writing (so how do we know what ‘improve’ means?) and it doesn’t say by when, or by how much they should ‘improve’. A SMARTened version of that objective might look like this:

*Reduce the number of punctuation errors in your weekly reports to the Steering Committee to not more than two per report by the end of September.*

Suddenly, we can see what the problem was, we can see what is meant by ‘improve’, we know what writing we are talking about, and we know by when we are going to bring about the improvement. The objective will, of course, depend on your joint analysis of what the underlying problem is.

But how will they improve? That’s where the next topic comes in ...

**What development is needed?**

When thinking of ‘development’, think broadly. It is much more than ‘going on a training course’.

Development could be:
- Working in a team to achieve a goal;
- A project;
- Observing someone else carrying out a task;
- An experience, such as attending a meeting on your behalf;
• Being coached;
• Setting up a small group to discuss a topic and to learn from each other;
• Having a mentor;
• Reading something;
• An online course.

If they suggest development requirements to you as part of their planning, encourage them also to explore ways of meeting these needs so that when they come to the review meeting they have an idea of the options.

Some staff may need more guidance on how to meet development needs than others and it may well be that they only make a note of the need, and not the way of meeting it. It may take more research to identify options for meeting development needs.

Think also of the support they need in order to achieve the agreed objectives as well as the development. This may take the form of advice and guidance, new equipment or software, or adjustment of the work or of the working environment.

D. Summary

This section is for anything which you feel has not been covered elsewhere. You don’t have to fill this in, but there may be something you want to note that you felt has been missed, or you just want to add your own summary statement.

It may be decided that you will be part of a 'grandparent system' by which the completed form is looked at by a more senior manager as a way of ensuring consistency of approach and of picking up on any issues that are emerging within the department. Your own line manager will advise you of this.
E. Summary of development and training needs (optional)

Any development agreed during the review meeting is summarised on this notification section. By listing the requests centrally, personnel can look at the development needs of the department as a whole and can identify any trends or patterns, or any needs that might be met as a group.

STAGE 3: FOLLOW UP

In many ways, the follow up is the most important part of PDR as this covers not just getting the paperwork completed and sent to the right places, but the more important task of achieving the goals and holding regular one-to-ones to discuss progress and any issues arising during the year.

The first task, however, is to ensure that the paperwork is complete and where it needs to be. A copy of the form should be returned to personnel where it will be checked for any departmental developmental or training trends. The reviewee should have a copy so they have a reminder of their objectives and of the discussion. If you keep a copy of the form, bear in mind the requirements of good information safety and keep it somewhere where it remains confidential.

During the year, you should meet with the reviewee on a regular basis to discuss progress and any support they need, and to check that any agreed development is taking place. How often you do this will depend on the objectives and on the workflow, but we recommend that it should not be less than once every two months. These one-to-ones can be quite short, but they give you the chance to monitor progress and to continue to be supportive. Without regular one-to-ones, you cannot shape performance, you will not be aware of issues until it is too late, and you cannot give the feedback that is needed if the principle of no surprises is to be upheld, so always diary these in advance and ensure they happen.
APPENDIX 1: PROCESS FLOW CHARTS

STAGE 1: PREPARATION

Manager
Contact Reviewee and agree date/time and venue for meeting.

Reviewee
Agree existing date or new date for meeting and venue.

Manager and Reviewee
Use form to help
• reflect on last year
• consider future objectives and development needs.

Admin/Personnel
Remind manager to carry out annual review, include link for form.
STAGE 2: THE ANNUAL REVIEW MEETING

Manager
Facilitate meeting.
Remind reviewee of purpose and use form to structure meeting.
Agree objectives and development needs and how these will be met.
If being done at this stage, both should complete form and sign.

Reviewee
Take part fully in meeting, using form to provide structure.
Agree objectives and any development needs and consider how these will be met.
Agree notes to add to form and sign it (or sign later when completed).

STAGE 3: FOLLOW UP

Manager
Send form to Administrator/ Personnel along with the summary of any training needs.

Administrator/ Personnel
Keep secure copy of form and check any development / training needs, look for commonalities.

Reviewee
Plan development and follow up plan, keeping manager informed.

Manager
Hold regular one-to-one discussions on progress and help amend plan if necessary.
APPENDIX 2: HINTS AND TIPS

These hints and tips are drawn from people who have carried out PDR for several years.

PREPARATION

“Some reviewees may have difficulty completing the form. This may be because the wording does not always suit everyone (which might be because they have English as a second language or because of other literacy issues). Be prepared to help. The most important thing is not how much they write, but that they reflect on the year gone and have thoughts on what they think they want to achieve in the year ahead. The form is there to help them think through what they want to say. They may not need to (or be able to) answer every question. Encourage them to do what they can and support those that need help.”

“If there are differences of perception or of focus in the planning, leave these until the meeting rather than engage in an email exchange or semi-meeting in advance of the agreed date, unless the difference is a real showstopper, which has never happened to me. You can then explore the differences at the meeting.”

THE ANNUAL REVIEW MEETING

“Make sure the room is suitable. I did one in a big room that had two sofas and that didn’t feel quite right. It wouldn’t be right to do it across opposite sides of a desk either. I prefer to sit around the corner of a desk in the meeting room. I also like to have coffee and biscuits, as this seems to give the right feel.”

“Don’t ever ask anyone to do their review in reception. My manager did my last one in our reception area. What does that tell you?”

“Funny enough, I don’t like it to be too informal. I know I’m there for work and not just for any old chat. But I also wouldn’t like it to be too formal … it’s not an interview. My manager is good at just getting the right feeling … business-like, but also relaxed.”

“I quite often don’t write a lot down when we’re discussing things … I try to leave it to the end of each
section and then say what I’m writing and ask if the person agrees. Other times, I’ve just jotted the key points and we’ve agreed I’ll write it up afterwards and send a copy for signing. If you do that, though, it’s best to do it soon afterwards otherwise your notes stop making sense.”

“There are times when I realise I’m talking too much, but I now have a rule that if I’ve gone on for more than a minute I shut up and get them to talk. It’s never quite 50/50, but I try and get as close as possible.”

“Everyone’s different. Some of my staff like me to take more of a lead, whereas some will want to go through whatever they’ve put on the form and kind of lead it. I try and pick up on what works for them ….”

“Leave enough time. Most of mine take about 30-40 minutes, some take less, but I always book about an hour and a half, and there have been times I’ve been glad of it …. .”

“Last time, my boss emailed me a completed form and said, “Here’s your PDR. Change anything you don’t like and then sign it and send it back. What’s the point of that?”

FOLLOW UP

“Suggest that they keep their copy of their objectives on their computer desktop and just open it now and then and jot down a few notes about what they’ve done. It makes a big difference if you have a few notes when it comes to the prep time.”

“I like to keep a copy of their objectives handy so I can have a quick look before one-to-ones; otherwise it’s impossible to remember what we agreed …”

“I always jot down a few notes at one-to-ones. Over the year, you can quite often see a picture … the story of year if you like. When I didn’t do that I used to struggle a bit with PDR …”

“I did have one person who disagreed with something I wrote on the form, and who left a comment saying so. That’s fine. We agreed to disagree. It didn’t come to anything more because it wasn’t a big point. Other than that, it’s usually not hard to agree.”
APPENDIX 3: FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

Who should do most of the talking at the review meeting?
They should. At worst, it should be 50/50. Remember, it is their PDR. Ask open questions and encourage them to arrive at conclusions and ideas for themselves. In that way, they will be more committed to the way forward. PDR is about agreement, not about ‘telling’. For example, you could encourage them to suggest better ways of doing things. Value their contribution.

What if I can’t meet their expectations with regard to development?
We want to try and help people fulfil their potential and achieve their goals, but there are always limitations. If you cannot meet an expectation, explain why not and say what you can do. There are always considerations of time, budget and work demands, but be careful not to let the demands of work obliterate all else, or else morale will fall and so will the quality of the work done.

Should PDR annual meetings take place at the same time for everyone in my team?
Your local HR team should contact you when PDR meetings are due, however these timings may vary depending on probationary periods and contract end dates. If in doubt, please speak to your local HR team.

Is PDR the place to deal with poor performance?
The PDR annual review meeting should not be used as the primary place to address poor performance. Poor performance should be addressed as and when it arises. The review meeting can discuss what happened, the progress made and any work still to be done, but it is not the place to raise an issue of poor performance for the first time. Make sure you are aware of the Discipline process and best practice in dealing with any poor performance. Consult your Personnel adviser, if you have one, or look at the Personnel website for advice and guidance.
What if the person works for more than one manager, or is officially managed by one person but spends a lot of time working for someone else?

Generally, the line manager is responsible for ensuring that the PDR annual review meeting takes place, but more than one manager can be involved. If there is more than one line manager, then both should participate, depending on how much of the time is spent with each. You might seek feedback from other managers involved in managing the individual, or even hold a joint review meeting with the agreement of the individual.

Who should decide on the objectives for the next year?

They should be agreed jointly. The individual should take responsibility for thinking of possible objectives, and then forward these suggestions to you in advance of the annual review meeting. You should ensure that they know what the team and department objectives are, and any changes on the horizon that are not confidential, so these can be taken into account. The final set of objectives is then discussed and agreed in the annual meeting. Quite often, reviewees look to the manager to take a lead on setting objectives, but, if this is the case, always make sure they participate and help shape the final objectives.

What if things change during the year and the objectives no longer apply or are no longer quite right?

Agree new or updated objectives.

What if the person does not want to participate in PDR?

PDRs are compulsory within the department. However, you cannot force someone to make the most of their opportunities. If a reviewee does refuse, try and find out what the issue is that is preventing them from making the most of PDR and point out the benefits. In many ways, PDR simply mimics what good managers do anyway, but not everyone has had good experience of PDR, so you may need to sell it to some staff and explain the benefits. Some staff may feel their job is too mundane to warrant a review or to write objectives for it, or else they say they just want to ‘come to work, do a good job and go home’, which is fair enough. But everyone has frustrations at times about their work, or ideas on how things can be improved, and PDR gives everyone the chance to discuss their gripes or ideas and be listened to. At
the very least, it gives them the chance to talk about themselves and any factors that affect their work. If they still do not wish to take part, they can send a brief email directly to personnel explaining that they don’t wish to have a PDR, they do not need to give a reason.

**Does everyone have to talk about their aspirations?**

No, only if they want to. There may be little you can do to help them achieve their goals; but there might be. Some people may want to move on, others may be thinking of going part-time or retiring. Others may want to have more responsibility, learn something new or take on a greater challenge. You can only help if you know about these things, but it is up to the individual to decide if they want to tell you about them.

**Is feedback one way, or should the manager ask for feedback as well?**

It is always a good idea as a manager to ask for feedback. Try asking what else you can do to support or help them. Or you could ask them what they would choose if there was one thing they could ask you to: continue doing; stop doing; start doing.

**Why doesn’t PDR link directly to reward, such as merit pay?**

There are other processes for deciding if and when a change in remuneration is warranted. PDR may well draw on the same evidence and is a good way for staff to think about what this evidence might be. But we want to avoid having more than one process for deciding reward levels. Also, many organisations have found that if they discuss development and reward in the same review, fewer people agree they have development needs, leading eventually to reduced performance (which, in turn, makes it less likely they will get greater rewards). The review can, if the individual wishes, be put forward as part of the case for a change in reward.
What about re-grading; can this be discussed in PDR?

Much the same response applies to this as given for change in reward. The annual PDR meeting is not the time to focus on re-grading, though it may provide evidence that there has been a change in role that needs to be looked at using the re-grading process, and one of the outcomes of the PDR may be to agree to look at the case for a re-grading exercise.

What about those on probation?

For those on probation, a review discussion should be held no later than mid-way through their probation period and then another about a month before the end of the probationary period. Probationers don’t have PDRs as such but probation reviews follow much the same format. If your department does not have a prescribed procedure for probationary reviews, you can use the format of a PDR, but check with your Department Administrator/Personnel support person first.

Do the on-going one-to-one meetings that happen throughout the year need to be formal?

Not unless you want them to be, but it is best if they are more formal than a ‘chat beside the water cooler’. It helps cement the manager/managed relationship, which does not need to be a distant relationship but does need some element of separation.

My department’s PDR process is not the same as my colleague’s department. Why?

The University does not have a single, imposed system. Instead, Personnel Committee agreed a set of principles for departments to follow, and these principles allow for some local variations to meet the needs of the department. In practice, the differences tend to be minor and anyone moving from one department to another would see many more similarities than differences.
Is it true that some departments do not have a PDR system?

The majority of departments do have PDR, but some are yet to introduce it, though this is gradually changing for various reasons, including:

- Upward pressure, particularly from those who come from other organisations and are surprised to find there is no appraisal system in place in their new department.

- Downward pressure from those who recognise PDR is a powerful way of ensuring everyone pulls in the same direction.

- External pressures, from government and funders, who want to see how we make use of, and develop, the resources they help to fund.
APPENDIX 4: GIVING AND RECEIVING FEEDBACK

Giving Praise

Your PI should appreciate any thanks you want to give for their support over the past year. Remember to let them know exactly what you found helpful, by giving examples.

For example: “I found it very helpful that you allowed me to do Project X in my own way after we had discussed it, and only come to you when I had queries.”

Giving Criticism

If you want to ask your PI to do something differently, or let them know about something you didn’t find helpful, the most effective way to raise this is to be factual and constructive about your criticism, and give examples.

For example: Instead of saying “You’re not supportive enough,” give information which will help your reviewer know what you want them to do differently:

“You asked me to make the changes to the database just before you went on holiday, which meant that I was unable to talk to you about the queries I had. It would have worked better, I think, if you had let me know about this a week or so earlier, so that we could have discussed it more.”

Receiving Praise

It’s important to accept thanks or praise that is offered. After all, you wouldn’t want your manager to stop giving you thanks because they felt you didn’t want to hear it! Try to avoid self-deprecating remarks such as, “it was nothing … anyone could have done it.” A simple “thank you” is all that is needed.

Receiving Criticism

Your PI may identify aspects of your work where they feel you have not made enough progress. This can sound like criticism, and when we feel we are being criticised, it is natural to for it to raise uncomfortable emotions. However, if you allow yourself to get angry or defensive, it will be more difficult to discuss with your PI how to move on and address any issues.
When you feel yourself reacting badly to criticism, take a deep breath. This will help to take the edge off the feelings and the pause will prevent you from speaking without thinking. You might suggest taking a short break to give you time to digest the feedback.

If you feel you are being criticised unreasonably, try to ask questions to find out more about your reviewer’s reasons for making the comments.

Hopefully, any criticism you receive will be constructive – it will help you identify exactly what it was about what you did that was perceived to be wrong or in need of improvement, and it will focus not on the past but on what can be done to put things right.

None of us like being criticised, but if your PI provides information which you can act upon, this will help your development. If you disagree, try to give your reviewer information which explains your viewpoint without becoming defensive.